

## RSPO Certification Review Report Revision 8 (July/2018)

Company & Assessment Details	
Client Name	Bukit Sagu Palm Oil Mill and supply base
Location	FGV Palm Industries Sdn Bhd Bukit Sagu Palm Oil Mill P.O. Box 69 25700 Kuantan, Pahang Malaysia
Client Reference Number	FELDA -0047625153-000
Report Type	<input type="checkbox"/> Initial Assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual Surveillance Assessment (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Recertification Assessment
Report Number (SMO)	8778342
Report prepared by	Hafriazhar Mohd Mokhtar
Date	12/3/2019
Visit Objective	To conduct ASA 1
Scope of Certification	Palm Oil and Palm Kernel Production from Bukit Sagu Palm Oil Mill and Supply Base (Bukit Sagu 04, Bukit Sagu 06, Bukit Sagu 07 & Bukit Sagu 08 Estate)
General comments (data presentation, report written)	-
Audit plan	Audit Plan is not available in PG. Response: Uploaded into PG on 27/3/2019. FA:OK

The scope of the Certification Assessment	
Type (mill and supply base and/or smallholders)	Mill and supply base
Organizational information/contract person <b>(Table 1)</b>	Mr. Anthonius Sani
Certification Information <b>(Table 2)</b>	RSPO P&C 2013 (MY-NI 2014) ; RSPO Supply Chain Certification Standard 2017 (CPO Mill – Module D)
Other certifications held (QMS, EMS, ISCC, etc) <b>(Table 3)</b>	ISO 9001:2008 ISO 14001:2004 OHSAS 18001:2007 International Sustainable Carbon Certification (ISCC)

...making excellence a habit.™

## RSPO Certification Review Report Revision 8 (July/2018)

Location (Map reference and GPS) for mill, supply base or smallholder group <b>(Table 4)</b>	OK
Description of supply base (source of FFB) <b>(Table 5)</b>	Bukit Sagu 04 Estate Bukit Sagu 06 Estate Bukit Sagu 07 Estate Bukit Sagu 08 Estate
Date of plantings and cycle <b>(Table 6)</b>	Ok
Certified Tonnage and/or <b>(Table 7)</b>	Ok
Crop diversion tonnage <b>(Table 8)</b>	Nil
Uncertified FFB tonnage <b>(Table 9)</b>	<p>Estimated (Dec 2017 – Nov 2018) 98,900.00 Actual (Oct 2017- Sep 2018) 88,642.00 Forecast (Dec 2018 – Nov 2019) 98,900.00</p> <p style="color: red;">Table 9 Date actual (Oct 2017- Sep 2018) is not same with Table A Supply Chain Declaration (Sep 2017 – Aug 2018)</p> <p>Response: Typo corrected in revised report FA:OK</p>
Tonnages CPO and PK certified (Production) <b>(Table 10)</b>	<p>FFB: 108,442.00 mt CPO (OER: 20.5%): 22,230.61mt PK (KER: 5.25%): 5,693.21mt</p> <p>Kindly check amount CPO (Actual <span style="color: red;">21,986.28</span>). Actual cannot be more than estimated volume.</p> <p>Response: Typo corrected in revised report FA:OK</p>
Actual Sold Volume (CPO and PK) <b>(Table 11 and Table 12)</b> The volume reported shall cover the period from the last assessment month until current assessment month (*is assessment conducted in March, the current assessment month is February)	<p>Can you explain why Total actual sold volume CPO 51,603.46mt Table 11 is more than actual production <span style="color: red;">21,986.28mt</span>?</p> <p>Response: Additional info included in revised report. FA:OK</p> <p style="color: red;">FA: Please locate additional info, TR cannot sighted.</p> <p>Response: Additional info included at bottom of Table 11 in revised report.</p>

**RSPO Certification Review Report**  
**Revision 8 (July/2018)**

	<p>Table 12, Kindly justify actual sold volume for PK 12,905.81 mt since the actual production only 5117.09mt. Response: Additional info included in revised report. FA:OK</p> <p>FA: Please locate additional info, TR cannot sighted. Response: Additional info included at bottom of Table 12 in revised report. FA:OK</p> <p>FA:Noted that *Conventional volume was derived from uncertified FFB processed. However the volume 47,860.64mt is not justifiable and total reach 51 603.46mt. Please explain. Response: Volume corrected included in revised report. FA:OK</p> <p>Actual sold recorded in Table E Supply chain declaration was 12,869.48mt for PK and for CPO 45944.25mt contradict with Table 12, kindly explain. Response: Additional info included in revised report. FA: Please locate additional info, TR cannot sighted. Response: Additional info included at bottom of Table E &amp; Table 12 in revised report. FA:Noted that *Conventional volume was derived from uncertified FFB processed. However the volume 9,297.03mt is not justifiable and total reach 12,905.81mt. Please explain. Response: Volume corrected included in revised report. FA:OK</p>
<p>Actual Group certification Claims <b>(Table 13)</b></p>	<p>NA</p>
<p><b>Assessment Process (Section 2)</b></p>	
<p>Assessment methodology (program, site visits)</p>	<p>Section 2: Assessment Process</p>

## RSPO Certification Review Report Revision 8 (July/2018)

Date of next surveillance visit	October 7, 2019 – October 11, 2019
Lead Auditor and assessment team	Hafriazhar Mohd. Mokhtar Valence Shem Muhamad Naquiuddin Mazeli Mohd Hafiz Mat Hussain
Certification Body	BSI Services Malaysia Sdn Bhd, (ASI Accreditation Number: ASI-ACC-067) Unit 3, Level 10, Tower A, The Vertical Business Suites, Bangsar South No. 8, Jalan Kerinchi, 59200 Kuala Lumpur
<b>Assessment Finding (Section 3)</b>	
<b>Time Bound Plan / multiple management system:</b>	Refer to Appendix B: Approved Time Bound Plan KS Keratong 3 KS Kulai KS Waha Please change to 2019.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Have isolated lapses been raised as minor nonconformities?</li> </ul>	No lapses.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the 5 years / 3 years time bound plan reported and complied?</li> </ul>	To be amended as per comment above.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fundamental failure to proceed with implementation should be raised as major nonconformities</li> </ul>	No failure reported.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any changes to TBP that is more than 5 or 3 years shall be approved by RSPO.</li> </ul>	To be amended as per comment above.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Has the Group liabilities for the certification unit been checked against from RSPO website</li> </ul>	No issues as per reported in RaCP.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Positive assurance from the Group on their uncertified units</li> </ul>	There have positive assurance statement from internal certification unit.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Progress of scheme smallholders and/or outgrowers</li> </ul>	NA
<b>New Planting:</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is there any New Planting</li> </ul>	No
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If "NO" or "N/A", is adequate explanation provided in the report?</li> </ul>	NA
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the explanation provided consistent with information in the report (e.g. Planting &amp; Cycle; indicator 3.1.1; 3.1.2)</li> </ul>	Yes.
Summary of Nonconformity	One (1) Major & two (2) Minor nonconformities Date of closure met? Yes 17/01/2019

## RSPO Certification Review Report Revision 8 (July/2018)

Major Nonconformity management  <i>Notes:</i> 1. Any physical corrective actions required to close the Major NC, requires an on-site closure assessment. 2. e.g. of physical corrections a. Finding related to PPE usage b. Finding related to inappropriate disposal of chemical waste c. Finding related to housing conditions d. Finding related to workers conditions e. Finding related to land boundaries	Are the Major NCs closed with onsite verification? YES / <del>NO</del> 17/01/2019
	If NO, was there justification provided and justifiable? <del>YES</del> / NO  The implementation of the corrective action plans to address the minor nonconformity will be followed up during the next surveillance assessment. The implementation of the Corrective Actions for the Major Nonconformity (ies) has been verified for its effectiveness and closed accordingly through evidence submitted.
	If NO, was there an approval from the RSPO Scheme Manager? <del>YES</del> / NO
Opportunity for Improvement is possible with future potential of non-compliance	4 OFIs
Identified noteworthy positive components shall be reported	Nil
Summary of previous nonconformities and status shall be reported	Date of nonconformity Closure 13/11/2017
Review effectiveness of previous non-conformities (closed Major Non-Conformities up to the last RAV and Minor Non-conformities from last assessment).	Evidence of CAP taken was effective to address the issue and minor nc was close on 30/11/2018.
Stakeholder consulted shall be listed and the comments from the stakeholders shall be reported.	Available in the report.
<b>Certified organization's acknowledgement of internal responsibility</b>	
Formal sign-off of assessment findings	Hafriazhar Mohd Mokhtar Date: 12/3/2019 Anthonius Sani Date: 26/3/2019
<b>RSPO P&amp;C Audit findings and checklist</b>	
Summary of Findings (audit checklist)	Comments by indicator
<b>Approved Time Bound Plan</b>	
Approved time bound plan	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the TBP summary consistent with TBP in section 3.</li> </ul>	Consistent.

## RSPO Certification Review Report Revision 8 (July/2018)

• The timeline shall be realistic at the time of the assessment.	Yes
<b>GHG Reporting</b>	
Year of reporting and options used.	PalmGHG Calculator version 3.0.1.
<b>RSPO Supply Chain Audit findings and checklist</b>	
Summary of Findings (audit checklist)	Comments by indicator
<b>List of smallholders</b>	
The list of smallholders shall be available.	NA
The smallholder registry PF806 is available in PG	NA

Submission of P&C summary template	NA
Location and Estate Maps	The maps clear.

<b>PG Records</b>	
Contract agreement –CSO acknowledge	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Assessment Plan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Attendance List	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Pre-audit information	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Visit Receipt / evidence of client accepting the assessment findings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
eReport	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Client's Corrective Action Plan (CAP)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Acceptance of Client's CAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Records of Evidence for closing out the nonconformities	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Record of approval from scheme manager for offsite closure of nonconformities	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A

<b>Approval</b>	
<b>Date First Review:</b>	27/3/2019
<b>Date Second Review:</b>	28/3/2019
<b>Date of decision:</b>	28/3/2019

**RSPO Certification Review Report**  
**Revision 8 (July/2018)**

<b>Reviewer decision</b>	Approved / <del>Not approved</del>	
<b>Decision by (name)</b>	Nor Faizah Azizan/Nicholas Cheong	
<b>Decision by (signature)</b>		